



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|---------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/830,447 | 04/27/2001 | Peter James Duffett-Smith | 41253 | 7010 |
| 7590 | 11/05/2007 | EXAMINER | | |
| Roylance Abrams Berdo & Goodman 1300 19th Street, N.W. Suite 600 Washington, DC 20036-2680 | | | RAMPURIA, SHARAD K | |
| ART UNIT | | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| 2617 | | | | |
| MAIL DATE | | DELIVERY MODE | | |
| 11/05/2007 | | PAPER | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | |
|--------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 09/830,447 | DUFFETT-SMITH ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Sharad Rampuria | 2617 |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Sharad Rampuria. (3) DUFFETT-SMITH, PETER (Inventor).
 (2) Stacey Longanecker (Registration No. 33,952). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 01 October 2007.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,2,8 and 9.

Identification of prior art discussed: Kelly et al. (US 5689270), DUFFETT-SMITH, PETER JAMES et al. (WO 9711384 A1).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner elucidate the situation of the art rejection and applicant's representative explained the point of view of the prior art. An agreement has been made and a further contemplation is desirable upon a written Applicant's response is filed

/Sharad Rampuria/
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2617.